

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,293	DE ROOIJ ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>n/a</u>
(1) <u>MIRIAM BERDICHEVSKY</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>William Powell</u> .	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>27 August 2008</u>	Time: <u>4:30pm</u>
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description: .	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
<i>Claim 1</i>	
Claims discussed:	
<i>Claim 1</i>	
Prior art documents discussed:	
<i>Ropp et al. (US 6429546)</i>	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
<i>Clarification of the issues discussed during the previous interview.</i>	
Part III.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	
/Alexa D. Neckel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795 /M. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795	
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	